



may not seek discovery from any source before the parties have conferred as required by Rule 26(f).” In the instant case, it is undisputed that as of April 28, 2005, the parties had not held a Rule 26(f) conference. Consequently, Defendant correctly asserts that it was under no obligation to respond to Plaintiff’s Request. In any event, on April 28, 2005, Defendant provided Plaintiff with responses to his Request for Production of Documents. Therefore, Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel is hereby denied.

**SO ORDERED.**

**Signed: May 16, 2005**

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Graham C. Mullen", written over a horizontal line.

Graham C. Mullen  
Chief United States District Judge

